## Coosa County, AL

## Math Performance, Grades 3–8, 2016–2023



Year

#### Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

2019 Average	-2.14
2022 Average	-2.58
2023 Average	-2.29
2019-2022 Change	-0.44
2022-2023 Change	+0.30
Since 2019	-0.14



Year

#### Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

2019 Average	-1.46
2022 Average	-1.83
2023 Average	N/A
2019-2022 Change	
2022-2023 Change	N/A
Since 2019	N/A

LEARN MORE ABOUT THIS AND OTHER DISTRICTS AT <u>EDOPPORTUNITY.ORG/RECOVERY</u> FOR MORE INFORMATION ON RECOVERY EFFORTS AND INDIVIDUAL STATE PRESS RELEASES, PLEASE VISIT <u>EDUCATIONRECOVERYSCORECARD.ORG</u>



# Coosa County, AL

# Math Performance in Coosa County vs. Alabama and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



(Russell County, Tallapoosa County, Pike County, Choctaw County, Elba City) Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019

National Average

	Coosa County, AL	Alabama	Similar Districts* in Alabama
2019 Average	-2.14	-1.00	-1.57
2022 Average	-2.58	-1.21	-1.93
2023 Average	-2.29	-0.95	-1.62
2019-2022 Change	-0.44	•-0.22	+ -0.36
2022-2023 Change	+0.30	+0.27	+0.30
2019-2023 Change	-0.14	+0.05	<b>-</b> 0.06

\*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.

Reading Performance in Coosa County vs. Alabama and Similar Districts,



Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Coosa County, AL	Alabama	Similar Districts* in Alabama
2019 Average	-1.46	-0.65	-1.42
2022 Average	-1.83	-0.74	-1.51
2023 Average	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019-2022 Change	-0.37	-0.09	-0.09
2022-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	N/A

\*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.

LEARN MORE ABOUT THIS AND OTHER DISTRICTS AT <u>EDOPPORTUNITY.ORG/RECOVERY</u> FOR MORE INFORMATION ON RECOVERY EFFORTS AND INDIVIDUAL STATE PRESS RELEASES, PLEASE VISIT <u>EDUCATIONRECOVERYSCORECARD.ORG</u>



# Coosa County, AL

## Math Performance by Subgroup, Grades 3–8, 2019–2023



#### Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Black H	lispanic	White	Poor Nor	n-Poor
2019 Average	-2.65	N/A	-1.62	N/A	N/A
2022 Average	-3.19	N/A	-2.10	N/A	N/A
2023 Average	-2.84	N/A	-1.81	N/A	N/A
2019-2022 Change	-0.54	N/A	-0.47	N/A	N/A
2022-2023 Change	+0.35	N/A	+0.28	N/A	N/A
2019-2023 Change	-0.19	N/A	-0.19	N/A	N/A

Reading Performance by Subgroup, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



LEARN MORE ABOUT THIS AND OTHER DISTRICTS AT <u>EDOPPORTUNITY.ORG/RECOVERY</u> FOR MORE INFORMATION ON RECOVERY EFFORTS AND INDIVIDUAL STATE PRESS RELEASES, PLEASE VISIT <u>EDUCATIONRECOVERYSCORECARD.ORG</u>



# **Alabama Report on Covid Recovery**



Change in Math Achievement 2019-2022 by proportion FRPL in Alabama districts

Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 700 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

> Change in Math Achievement 2022-2023 by proportion FRPL in Alabama districts



#### Proportion free or reduced lunch (2019)

Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 700 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.



### Change in Math Achievement 2019-2023 by proportion FRPL in Alabama districts

Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 700 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

## **Change in Math Achievement**

by proportion FRPL in Alabama districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Blue points represent districts with at least 700 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

## Alabama Math Achievement By Race



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assesment of Educational Progress. For details on the methodology, see https://edopportunity.org/methods/.