## Limestone County, AL

Math Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023


Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores
in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

| 2019 Average | -1.05 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2022 Average | -2.05 |
| 2023 Average | -1.89 |
| 2019-2022 Change | $\boldsymbol{\nabla}-1.00$ |
| 2022-2023 Change | $\boldsymbol{\uparrow}+0.16$ |
| Since 2019 | $\boldsymbol{\downarrow}-0.84$ |

Reading Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023


Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores
in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

| 2019 Average | -0.39 |
| :--- | :---: |
| 2022 Average | -0.97 |
| 2023 Average | N/A |
| 2019-2022 Change | -0.58 |
| 2022-2023 Change | N/A |
| Since 2019 | N/A |

Math Performance in Limestone County vs. Alabama and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023

Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores
in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on sociocenomic status, demographics, and size. Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average


(St Clair County, Lauderdale County, Chilton County, Blount County, Morgan County)
*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.

## Limestone County, AL

Math Performance by Subgroup, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023


Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores
in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

|  | Black | Hispanic | White | Poor | Non-Poor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 Average | -2.29 | -1.64 | -0.76 | N/A | N/A |
| 2022 Average | -3.79 | -2.32 | -1.51 | N/A | N/A |
| 2023 Average | -3.66 | -2.22 | -1.34 | N/A | N/A |
| 2019-2022 Change | - -1.50 | - 0.68 | - -0.76 | N/A | N/A |
| 2022-2023 Change | + +0.13 | + +0.10 | 1 +0.17 | N/A | N/A |
| 2019-2023 Change | - -1.37 | - 0.58 | $\downarrow-0.59$ | N/A | N/A |

## Reading Performance by Subgroup, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores
in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

|  | Black | Hispanic | White | Poor | Non-Poor |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2019 Average | -1.32 | -1.92 | 0.01 | N/A | N/A |  |
| 2022 Average | -2.01 | -1.91 | -0.60 | N/A | N/A |  |
| 2023 Average | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| 2019-2022 Change | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ | -0.68 | +0.01 | -0.61 | N/A | N/A |
| 2022-2023 Change | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| 2019-2023 Change | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |

## Alabama Report on Covid Recovery

Change in Math Achievement 2019-2022
by proportion FRPL in Alabama districts


Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.
Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.
For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 700 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.
For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Math Achievement 2022-2023
by proportion FRPL in Alabama districts


Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.
Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress
the or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level in Census dat Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates
Labeled points represent districts with at least 700 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.
For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Math Achievement 2019-2023
by proportion FRPL in Alabama districts


Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.
Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.
For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 700 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

## Change in Math Achievement

 by proportion FRPL in Alabama districts



Proportion free or reduced lunch (2019)
Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.
Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.
For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below $185 \%$ of the federal poverty level in Census data Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates,
Blue points represent districts with at least 700 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state
For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

# Alabama Math Achievement By Race 



