Jacksonville North Pulaski School District, AR

Math Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023



Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

2019 Average	-2.85
2022 Average	-3.27
2023 Average	-3.47
2019-2022 Change	↓ -0.42
2022-2023 Change	↓ -0.19
Since 2019	↓ -0.62

Reading Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023



Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

2019 Average	N/A
2022 Average	N/A
2023 Average	N/A
2019-2022 Change	N/A
2022-2023 Change	N/A
Since 2019	N/A

Jacksonville North Pulaski School District, AR

Math Performance in Jacksonville North Pulaski School District vs. Arkansas and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



(Pulaski County Spec. School District, Texarkana School District, Marion School District, Malvern School District, Junction City School District)

Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Jacksonville North Pulaski School District, AR	Arkansas	Similar Districts* in Arkansas
2019 Average	-2.85	-0.65	-1.89
2022 Average	-3.27	-1.18	-2.06
2023 Average	-3.47	-1.09	-1.96
2019-2022 Change	↓ -0.42	↓ -0.53	↓ -0.17
2022-2023 Change	↓ -0.19	↑ +0.09	↑ +0.10
2019-2023 Change	↓ -0.62	↓ -0.44	↓ -0.07

*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.

Reading Performance in Jacksonville North Pulaski School District vs. Arkansas and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



(Pulaski County Spec. School District, Texarkana School District, Marion School District, Malvern School District, Junction City School District)

Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Jacksonville North Pulaski School District, AR	Arkansas	Similar Districts* in Arkansas
2019 Average	N/A	N/A	N/A
2022 Average	N/A	N/A	N/A
2023 Average	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019-2022 Change	N/A	N/A	N/A
2022-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	N/A
2019-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	N/A

*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.

LEARN MORE ABOUT THIS AND OTHER DISTRICTS AT EDOPPORTUNITY.ORG/RECOVERY
FOR MORE INFORMATION ON RECOVERY EFFORTS AND INDIVIDUAL STATE PRESS RELEASES, PLEASE VISIT EDUCATIONRECOVERYSCORECARD.ORG

Arkansas Report on Covid Recovery

Change in Math Achievement 2019-2022
by proportion FRPL in Arkansas districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.
Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.
For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data.
Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.
Labeled points represent districts with at least 400 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.
For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Math Achievement 2022-2023
by proportion FRPL in Arkansas districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.
Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.
For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data.
Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.
Labeled points represent districts with at least 400 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.
For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Math Achievement 2019-2023
by proportion FRPL in Arkansas districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.
Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.
For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data.
Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.
Labeled points represent districts with at least 400 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.
For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Math Achievement
by proportion FRPL in Arkansas districts

Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.
Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.
For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data.
Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.
Blue points represent districts with at least 400 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.
For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.