
### Hampton School District, NH

### Math Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023






#### **Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores**

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

2019 Average	2.40
2022 Average	1.37
2023 Average	1.36
2019-2022 Change	<del>-</del> 1.04
2022-2023 Change	<b>↓</b> -0.01
Since 2019	<b>↓</b> -1.04

### Reading Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023



#### **Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores**

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average


2019 Average	2.52
2022 Average	1.93
2023 Average	2.23
2019-2022 Change	-0.58
2022-2023 Change	+0.30
Since 2019	<b>↓</b> -0.29



### Hampton School District, NH

Math Performance in Hampton School District vs. New Hampshire and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023





(Hudson School District, Milford School District, Pelham School District, Sanborn Regional School District, Hampstead School District)


#### **Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores**

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Hampton School District, NH	New Hampshire	Similar Districts* in New Hampshire
2019 Average	2.40	0.51	0.79
2022 Average	1.37	-0.07	-0.02
2023 Average	1.36	0.07	0.18
2019-2022 Change	-1.04	-0.58	-0.80
2022-2023 Change	-0.01	+0.14	<b>+</b> 0.20
2019-2023 Change	<b>▼</b> -1.04	▼ -0.44	-0.60

\*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.

## Reading Performance in Hampton School District vs. New Hampshire and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



(Hudson School District, Milford School
District, Pelham School District, Sanborn
Regional School District, Hampstead School
District)

#### **Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores**

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

			Similar Districts* in
	Hampton School District, NH	New Hampshire	New Hampshire
2019 Average	2.52	0.59	0.77
2022 Average	1.93	0.27	0.36
2023 Average	2.23	0.49	0.61
2019-2022 Change	-0.58	-0.32	-0.41
2022-2023 Change	+0.30	+0.22	+0.25
2019-2023 Change	₹ -0.29	<b>↓</b> -0.09	<b>▼</b> -0.16


\*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.



### Hampton School District, NH

### Math Performance by Subgroup, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023






#### **Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores**

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Black	Hispanic	White	Poor No	n-Poor
2019 Average	N/A	N/A	2.44	N/A	N/A
2022 Average	N/A	N/A	1.56	N/A	N/A
2023 Average	N/A	N/A	1.61	N/A	N/A
2019-2022 Change	N/A	N/A	-0.88	N/A	N/A
2022-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	+0.05	N/A	N/A
2019-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	<b>▼</b> -0.82	N/A	N/A

### Reading Performance by Subgroup, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



#### **Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores**


in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Black	Hispanic	White	Poor No	n-Poor
2019 Average	N/A	N/A	2.58	N/A	N/A
2022 Average	N/A	N/A	2.06	N/A	N/A
2023 Average	N/A	N/A	2.41	N/A	N/A
2019-2022 Change	N/A	N/A	-0.52	N/A	N/A
2022-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	+0.35	N/A	N/A
2019-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	-0.17	N/A	N/A



## New Hampshire Report on Covid Recovery

### Change in Math Achievement 2019-2022 by proportion FRPL in New Hampshire districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 100 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

### Change in Reading Achievement 2019-2022 by proportion FRPL in New Hampshire districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.


Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.

Labeled points represent districts with at least 200 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

### Change in Math Achievement 2022-2023 by proportion FRPL in New Hampshire districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 100 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

## Change in Reading Achievement 2022-2023 by proportion FRPL in New Hampshire districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.


Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.

Labeled points represent districts with at least 200 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

### Change in Math Achievement 2019-2023 by proportion FRPL in New Hampshire districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 100 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

## Change in Reading Achievement 2019-2023 by proportion FRPL in New Hampshire districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.


Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.

Labeled points represent districts with at least 200 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.


For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

# Change in Math Achievement by proportion FRPL in New Hampshire districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Blue points represent districts with at least 100 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

# Change in Reading Achievement by proportion FRPL in New Hampshire districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Orange points represent districts with at least 200 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

# New Hampshire Math Achievement By Race



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For details on the methodology, see https://edopportunity.org/methods/.

# New Hampshire Reading Achievement By Race



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assesment of Educational Progress. For details on the methodology, see https://edopportunity.org/methods/.