# New Underwood School District 51-3, SD

Math Performance, Grades 3–8, 2016–2023



Year

#### Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

2019 Average	1.14
2022 Average	-0.13
2023 Average	-0.14
2019-2022 Change	-1.26
2022-2023 Change	➡ -0.01
Since 2019	-1.27

Reading Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023



#### Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

2019 Average	N/A
2022 Average	N/A
2023 Average	N/A
2019-2022 Change	N/A
2022-2023 Change	N/A
Since 2019	N/A

LEARN MORE ABOUT THIS AND OTHER DISTRICTS AT <u>EDOPPORTUNITY.ORG/RECOVERY</u> FOR MORE INFORMATION ON RECOVERY EFFORTS AND INDIVIDUAL STATE PRESS RELEASES, PLEASE VISIT <u>EDUCATIONRECOVERYSCORECARD.ORG</u>



## New Underwood School District 51-3, SD

Math Performance in New Underwood School District 51-3 vs. South Dakota and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



#### 51-5)

Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	New Underwood School District 51-3, SD	South Dakota	Similar Districts* in South Dakota
2019 Average	1.14	0.36	1.31
2022 Average	-0.13	-0.02	0.37
2023 Average	-0.14	0.04	0.31
2019-2022 Change	+ -1.26	+ -0.38	
2022-2023 Change	➡ -0.01	+0.06	
2019-2023 Change		<b>↓</b> -0.32	-1.00

\*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size

Reading Performance in New Underwood School District 51–3 vs. South Dakota and Similar Districts, Grades 3–8, 2019–2023



51-2, Parker School District 60-4, Garretson School District 49-4, Wall School District 51-5)

state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.



# New Underwood School District 51-3, SD

### Math Performance by Subgroup, Grades 3–8, 2019–2023



#### Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Black	Hispanic	White	Poor No	n-Poor
2019 Average	N/A	N/A	1.18	N/A	N/A
2022 Average	N/A	N/A	0.14	N/A	N/A
2023 Average	N/A	N/A	-0.01	N/A	N/A
2019-2022 Change	N/A	N/A	-1.04	N/A	N/A
2022-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	-0.15	N/A	N/A
2019-2023 Change	N/A	N/A	<b>↓</b> -1.18	N/A	N/A

Reading Performance by Subgroup, Grades 3–8, 2019–2023



LEARN MORE ABOUT THIS AND OTHER DISTRICTS AT <u>EDOPPORTUNITY.ORG/RECOVERY</u> FOR MORE INFORMATION ON RECOVERY EFFORTS AND INDIVIDUAL STATE PRESS RELEASES, PLEASE VISIT <u>EDUCATIONRECOVERYSCORECARD.ORG</u>



# South Dakota Report on Covid Recovery

Change in Math Achievement 2019-2022 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

> Change in Reading Achievement 2019-2022 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts

0.5



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data.

Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.

Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

#### Change in Math Achievement 2022-2023 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

#### Change in Reading Achievement 2022-2023 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.



#### Change in Math Achievement 2019-2023 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts

Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

#### **Change in Reading Achievement 2019-2023** by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Blue points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

# Change in Reading Achievement by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts





Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Orange points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

### South Dakota Math Achievement By Race and Economic Status



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assesment of Educational Progress. For details on the methodology, see https://edopportunity.org/methods/.

> South Dakota Reading Achievement By Race and Economic Status

**Change in Achievement** 

**Change in Achievement Gaps** 

2019-2023



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assesment of Educational Progress. For details on the methodology, see https://edopportunity.org/methods/.