
Wagner Community School District 11-4, SD

Math Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023




Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

2019 Average	-0.53
2022 Average	-1.09
2023 Average	-1.31
2019-2022 Change	- -0.56
2022-2023 Change	→ -0.22
Since 2019	↓ -0.78

Reading Performance, Grades 3-8, 2016-2023



Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average


2019 Average	-0.38
2022 Average	-0.77
2023 Average	-0.91
2019-2022 Change	-0.39
2022-2023 Change	-0.14
Since 2019	↓ -0.53





Wagner Community School District 11-4, SD

Math Performance in Wagner Community School District 11-4 vs. South Dakota and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



(Sisseton School District 54-2, Chamberlain School District 07-1, Flandreau School District 50-3, Timber Lake School District 20-3, Lyman School District 42-1)


Average Math Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average

	Wagner Community School District 11-4, SD	South Dakota	Similar Districts* in South Dakota
2019 Average	-0.53	0.36	0.15
2022 Average	-1.09	-0.02	-0.27
2023 Average	-1.31	0.04	-0.38
2019-2022 Change	-0.56	-0.38	-0.42
2022-2023 Change	↓ -0.22	+0.06	→ -O.11
2019-2023 Change	↓ -0.78	↓ -0.32	▼ -0.52

*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.

Reading Performance in Wagner Community School District 11-4 vs. South Dakota and Similar Districts, Grades 3-8, 2019-2023



(Sisseton School District 54-2, Chamberlain School District 07-1, Flandreau School District 50-3, Timber Lake School District 20-3, Lyman School District 42-1)

Average Reading Scores and Trends in Scores

in Grade Level Equivalents Relative to the 2019 National Average


	Wagner Community School District 11-4, SD	South Dakota	Similar Districts* in South Dakota
2019 Average	-0.38	0.24	-0.24
2022 Average	-0.77	-0.07	-0.91
2023 Average	-0.91	-0.13	-1.07
2019-2022 Change	-0.39	-0.30	-0.67
2022-2023 Change	-0.14	↓ -0.07	-0.16
2019-2023 Change	↓ -0.53	-0.37	-0.83

*Comparison districts are the nearest matches within the same state based on socioeconomic status, demographics, and size.



South Dakota Report on Covid Recovery

Change in Math Achievement 2019-2022 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Reading Achievement 2019-2022 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.

Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Math Achievement 2022-2023 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Reading Achievement 2022-2023 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.


Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.

Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Math Achievement 2019-2023 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Reading Achievement 2019-2023 by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.


For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates.

Labeled points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state.

For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.


Change in Math Achievement

by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Blue points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

Change in Reading Achievement by proportion FRPL in South Dakota districts




Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For historical comparability, the proportion of students receiving free or reduced price lunch reflects the estimated number of students in households with incomes below 185% of the federal poverty level in Census data. Some districts may have higher rates of federally subsidized lunch recipients due to the community eligibility provision. The sample of districts shown have been limited to districts with reliable estimates. Orange points represent districts with at least 150 tested students per grade. The regression line displays the overall trend within the state. For details on the methodology see https://edopportunity.org/methods.

South Dakota Math Achievement By Race and Economic Status



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA. Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress. For details on the methodology, see https://edopportunity.org/methods/.

South Dakota Reading Achievement By Race and Economic Status



Source: Education Recovery Scorecard, by Harvard CEPR and Stanford SEDA.

Notes: All estimates are based on published state assessment results, which have been rescaled to grade equivalents using state scores on the National Assessment of Educational Progress.

For details on the methodology, see https://edopportunity.org/methods/.